

Published on Web 11/19/2003

Oxygen Is Electroreduced to Water on a "Wired" Enzyme Electrode at a Lesser Overpotential than on Platinum

Nicolas Mano.*,[†] Jose L. Fernandez,[‡] Yousung Kim.[§] Woonsup Shin.[§] Allen J. Bard.[‡] and Adam Heller*,†

Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas Materials Institute, and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712, and Department of Chemistry, Sogang University, Seoul, 121-742, Korea

Received September 2, 2003; E-mail: heller@che.utexas.edu

One of the most extensively studied electrochemical reactions has been the four-electron electroreduction of oxygen to water (eq 1):

$$O_2 + 4 H^+ + 4 e^- \rightarrow 2 H_2 O$$
 (1)

This is the reaction of most fuel cell cathodes as well as of electrochemical oxygen sensors. For more than a century, the reference catalyst of the reaction has been platinum in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. Comparison of the overpotential of the polished platinum cathode in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ with that of a polished vitreous carbon cathode modified with a film of bilirubin oxidase (BOD), which was electrically connected ("wired") to the electrode by a redox polymer, showed that the overpotential of the "wired" BOD cathode was much lower than that of the Pt cathode. The "wired" BOD cathode operated in a physiological pH 7.2 (20 mM phosphate, 0.15 M NaCl) buffer solution (PBS), where the Pt cathode would have rapidly corroded. Because the solubility and diffusivity of O₂ in PBS at 37 °C are higher than in 0.5 M H₂SO₄,^{1,2} the absolute current densities of the "wired" enzyme electrode were much higher than those of platinum at any overpotential. Thus, for example, at 1 atm O_2 pressure, O_2 was electroreduced to water at a current density of 9.5 mA cm⁻² at an overpotential of -0.31 V on the "wired" BOD cathode. At this overpotential, the current density of the polished platinum cathode in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ was 16-fold lower, only 0.6 mA cm^{-2} .

There are four electron-transfer steps in the "wired" BODcatalyzed electroreduction of O2. At steady state, the currents associated with each are necessarily the same. Thus, the currents flowing from the carbon electrode to the redox polymer "wiring" the enzyme I, through the redox polymer II, from the polymer to the enzyme molecules III, and from the enzyme molecules to O_2 molecules IV are all identical. The limit of each of these currents is defined by the polymer/enzyme ratio. The limits of I and II increase upon increasing the polymer/enzyme ratio, while the limit of **IV** decreases upon increasing the ratio. By increasing the specific activity of the enzyme, not only were we able to increase the limit of IV but, through the associated increase in the wt % of the electron-conducting redox polymer, we were also able to push further up the limits of **I** and **II**.

The novel bioelectrocatalyst was made, as earlier,³ of BOD from Trachyderma tsunodae and the copolymer of polyacrylamide and poly(N-vinylimidazole), complexed with [Os-(4,4'-dichloro-2,2'bipyridine)₂Cl]^{+/2+}, cross-linked with poly(ethylene glycol) diglycidyl ether (PEGDGE). However, the BOD from T. tsunodae, which was used earlier as received, was now purified,⁴ whereby its specific



Figure 1. Dependence of the current density on the overvoltage for electrodes rotating at 500 rpm (top curve) and 1000, 2000, and 4000 rpm (bottom curve) under 1 atm O₂ at 37 °C. Scan rate: 5 mV s⁻¹. Left: polished Pt in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. Right: "wired" BOD on polished vitreous carbon in a pH 7.2 saline (0.15 M NaCl) phosphate (0.02 M) buffer. The "wired" BOD film, loaded at 0.17 mg cm⁻², comprised 32.3 wt % BOD, 60.2 wt % redox polymer, and 7.5 wt % PEGDGE.

activity increased 5-fold. Because less enzyme was required to provide the current **IV**, we were able to reduce the optimal enzyme weight % from 44 wt % to 32 wt % and to increase the optimal polymer weight % from 49 wt % to 60 wt %.^{3,5-8} The resulting increase in the conductive polymer/insulating enzyme weight ratio from 1.11 to 1.88 increased the diffusivity of electrons.^{3,7-9} An increase in the wt % of the cross-linker^{8,10} mechanically toughened the film, which was not sheared off the 3-mm diameter disk electrode when it rotated at 4000 rpm.

While chloride, at concentrations as low as 4 ppm, affects the electroreduction of O₂ on Pt by reducing the operating voltage of fuel cells by 50 mV or more,11 the "wired" BOD electrocatalyst functions even at a chloride concentration as high as 1 M.3

Figure 1 shows the dependence of the current density on the overpotential for the Pt cathode in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and for the "wired" BOD cathode in PBS. For Pt, the dependence of the current density on the overvoltage agreed with that of earlier studies. The Levich equation, $I = 0.62 n FA c D^{2/3} v^{-1/6} \omega^{1/2}$, was rigorously obeyed up to 4000 rpm, where the current density reached 9.5 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$.¹² The slope, 0.44 mA cm⁻² rad^{-1/2} s^{1/2}, agreed with the theoretical value of 0.46 mA cm⁻² rad^{-1/2} s^{1/2}, calculated for a four-electron process (n = 4), with O₂ diffusivity $D = 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ cm² s⁻¹, O₂ solubility $c = 1.07 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$, kinematic viscosity (of the 0.15 M NaCl solution at 37.5 °C) $\nu = 0.091$ cm² s⁻¹, and rotating disk area $A = 0.0707 \text{ cm}^{2,1,2}$ Above 10 mA cm⁻², reached at angular velocities higher than 4000 rpm, the current was controlled not by diffusion, but by the electrocatalytic reaction kinetics.

The diffusion-limited currents reached with the "wired" BOD cathodes were about twice as high as those reached with the Pt cathode. The difference is a result of the higher solubility and diffusivity of oxygen in PBS relative to 0.5 M H₂SO₄.^{1,2} The product

[†] Department of Chemical Engineering and Texas Materials Institute, University of Texas.

[‡] Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas. [§] Department of Chemistry, Sogang University.



Figure 2. Dependence of the collection efficiency (I_S/I_T) on the overpotential (η) in tip generation—substrate collection mode SECM for Pt in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ (solid line), for Pt in pH 7.2, 0.2 M phosphate (\bullet), and for the "wired" BOD cathode in pH 7.2 PBS (\bigcirc). 25 μ m Pt tip; tip current (I_T), 81 nA; distance between the tip and the substrate, 5 μ m.

of the ratios of O_2 solubility and O_2 diffusivity in the two solutions is ~1.8, consistent with the ~1.8-fold higher limiting currents in PBS.

 O_2 was electroreduced exclusively by four-electron reduction to water, not by two-electron reduction to H_2O_2 . Experiments with rotating Pt ring/"wired" BOD disk electrodes showed no oxidation on the Pt ring at potentials where H_2O_2 , if it were formed on the disk, would have been oxidized. Colorimetry with ABTS-horseradish peroxidase confirmed the absence of H_2O_2 from the solution in which the wired BOD cathode operated.^{3,7}

Mass transport-corrected Tafel plots in the mixed kinetic diffusion-controlled region were obtained from Koutecky–Levich plots for the Pt and for "wired" BOD cathodes. The slopes for the Pt cathode were 60 mV/dec at low current density ($\eta < |0.5 \text{ V}|$) and 122 mV/dec at high current density ($\eta > |0.5 \text{ V}|$), in agreement with the reported values.^{13,14} The lower Tafel slope corresponds to O₂ electroreduction on the oxidized (Pt–OH) surface. The higher slope, of relevance to polymer–electrolyte fuel cells, is characteristic of clean Pt.¹⁵ For the "wired" BOD cathode, only a 120 mV/ dec Tafel slope was observed.

That "wired" BOD was a more active electrocatalyst than Pt in the four-electron electroreduction of O₂ to water was confirmed by scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM), operating in a modified tip generation—substrate collection mode (TG—SC).¹⁶ A current of 81 nA (I_t) was applied to a 25 μ m Pt tip positioned 5 μ m from the substrate (the 127 μ m Pt disk or the "wired" enzyme solution interface) to generate a constant flow of O₂ by oxidizing water. The O₂ diffusing to the scanned cathode surface was electroreduced at a current (I_s) defined by the catalyst-dependent overpotential. The potential dependence of the collection efficiency CE (I_s/I_t) is seen in Figure 2 for the "wired" BOD cathode in PBS (open circles), for the Pt cathode in 0.5 M acid sulfuric (solid line), and for the Pt cathode in pH 7.2, 0.2 M phosphate buffer (solid circles). Consistent with the RDE experiments, the O_2 diffusiondefined collection efficiency was reached already at -0.3 V overpotential with "wired" BOD cathode. With Pt, it was reached only at an overpotential of -0.5 V in 0.5 M sulfuric acid and at an overpotential of -0.65 V in pH 7.2, 0.2 M phosphate.

In summary, the "wired" enzyme electrocatalyst is superior to platinum. The smooth "wired" enzyme cathode exhibits a higher current density of oxygen electroreduction than the classical smooth Pt electrode. The overpotential, for any current density, is much lower for the enzyme-based cathode. By using rotating porous "wired" enzyme cathodes, the area of which could be at least 5-fold larger than that of smooth electrodes, we are likely to reach current densities of 50 mA cm⁻². Yet higher current densities are likely to be reached with air-diffusing cathodes of the type used in fuel cells, where Pt-loaded carbon particle cathodes reach 1 A cm⁻². While it is likely that with adequate engineering current densities of \sim 1 A cm⁻² will be reached also with "wired" enzyme cathodes, these cathodes will have to be made at a cost low enough to be discarded after their shorter operational life, most likely of a few weeks.

Acknowledgment. The study was supported by the Office of Naval Research (Grant No. N00014-02-1-0144), the Welch Foundation, and the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CHE 0109587). W.S. thanks the Korea Research Foundation (Grant No. 2001-042-G00015) and Sogang University for his sabbatical leave at the University of Texas in Austin. J.L.F. thanks the Fundación Antorchas (Argentina) for a postdoctoral fellowship.

References

- Battino, R. Oxygen and Ozone; Solubility Data Series; Pergamon: New York, 1981; Vol. 7, pp 71, 164.
 Lobo, V. M. M. Handbook of Electrolyte Solutions, Part B; Elsevier:
- Lobo, V. M. M. Handbook of Electrolyte Solutions, Part B; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1989; p 1062.
 Mano, N.; Kim, H.-H.; Heller, A. J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 8842-
- (4) The BOD was purified consecutively on a DEAE (diethylaminoethyl)
- (4) The BOD was particle consecutively on a DEAE (diethylamitoentyl) Sephacel column and on a Sephadex G-100 gel-filtration column. The ABTS oxidation activity of the BOD increased upon the purification from 80 to 400 U/mg.
- (5) Mano, N.; Mao, F.; Heller, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12962– 12963.
- (6) Mano, N.; Mao, F.; Heller, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6588-6594.
 (7) Mano, N.; Kim, H.-H.; Zhang, Y.; Heller, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6480-6486.
- (8) Heller, A. J Phys. Chem. B **1992**, 96, 3579-3587.
- (9) Heller, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 128–134.
- (10) Mao, F.; Mano, N.; Heller, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 125 (16), 4951– 4957.
- (11) Markovic, N. M.; Schmidt, T. J.; Stamenkovic, V.; Ross, P. N. Fuel Cells 2002, 1 (2), 105–116.
- (12) Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R. *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications*; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2001; p 341.
- (13) Sepa, D. B.; Vojnović, M. V.; Damjanović, A. Electrochim. Acta 1980, 25, 1491–1496.
- (14) Sepa, D. B.; Vojnovic, M. V.; Vracar, L. M.; Damjanovic, A. Electrochim. Acta 1986, 31, 97–101.
- (15) Toda, T.; Igarashi, H.; Uchida, H.; Watanabe, M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1999, 146, 3750–3756.
- (16) Fernandez, J. L.; Bard, A. J. Anal. Chem. 2003, 75, 2967-2974.

JA038285D